	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	08/719,639	MATTAWAY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alpus H. Hsu	2616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Alpus H. Hsu</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Casey.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 28 June 2006	Time: <u>2;00 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  101 non-statutory subject matter issue		
Claims discussed: 12, 23-30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See examiner's amendment for detailed explanation	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.	• .	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	inature – if appropriate)
(Applicant)	Abusant a Lobicacinative Offi	mataro – ii appropriate)